EFFICIENT QUANTUM CIRCUITS FOR BLOCK ENCODINGS OF A PAIRING HAMILTONIAN AND BEYOND

Chao Yang Applied Mathematics & Computational Research Division Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Joint work with Diyi Liu (Minnesota) Lin Lin (Berkeley), Weijie Du and James Vary (Iowa), Guang Hao Low (Google), Shuchen Zhu (Duke)

Outline

- Background and motivation
- General template for block encoding sparse matrices
- Examples
- Block encoding circuit for pairing Hamiltonian
- Generalization and further improvement
- D. Camps, L. Lin, R. Van Beeumen, C. Yang, "Explicit Quantum Circuits for Block Encodings of Certain Sparse Matrices", SIMAX, 45(1), 2024.
- D. Liu, W. Du, L. Lin, J. P. Vary and C. Yang, "An Efficient Quantum Circuit for Block Encoding a Pairing Hamiltonian", J. Comp. Sci, 85, 2025

Sparse linear algebra and iterative methods

- Linear systems $Ax = b$, $A \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$, $N = 2^n$ $\triangleright x = A^{-1}b \approx p_k(A)b$
- Least squares min \mathcal{X} $Ax - b\|_2, A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times N}, N \ge m$ $\triangleright x = A^{\dagger} b = (A^*A)^{-1}A^*b \approx p_k(A^*A)A^*b$
- Eigenvalue problem: $Ax = \lambda x$ $\triangleright x \approx \delta(A - \lambda I)x_0 = p_k(A)x_0$

Iterative Methods: A is large but sparse or Av multiplication can be performed efficiently

Quantum (Sparse) Linear Algebra

- Challenge:
	- \cdot A is generally not unitary
	- Standard linear algebra operations are non-trivial on a quantum computer, e.g., axpy etc.
- Solution:
	- Embed properly scaled A into a much larger unitary U_A that can be decomposed into an efficient quantum circuit (block encoding)

$$
\begin{pmatrix} A & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A\psi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ * \end{pmatrix} = |0\rangle |A\psi\rangle + |1\rangle | * \rangle
$$

- Embed $p(A)$ into a much larger unitary U (without forming $p(A)$) explicitly) that can be expressed in terms of U_A and U_A^{\dagger} (quantum signal processing/quantum singular value transformation)
- Apply $\mathit{U}_{p(A)}$ to a carefully prepared state, and make measurements
	- Childs, Kathari and Somma, SIAM J. Comput, 2017
	- Gilyén, Su, Low, and Wiebe, ACM SIGACT STOC., 2019

General template for block encoding for s-sparse A

- Matrix dimension: $N = 2^n$
- Each column of A has $s = 2^m$ nonzero elements (constant or $poly(n))$

•
$$
D_s|0^m\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{s-1} |\ell\rangle
$$
 (diffusion operator)
\n• $O_A|0\rangle|\ell\rangle|j\rangle = \left(A_{c(\ell,j),j}|0\rangle + \sqrt{1 - |A_{c(\ell,j),j}|^2}|1\rangle\right)|\ell\rangle|j\rangle$ (numerical)
\n $\frac{c(\ell,j): \text{row index of the } \ell \text{th nonzero in the } j \text{th column}}{O_c|\ell\rangle|j\rangle = |\ell\rangle|c(\ell,j)\rangle$ (symbolic)
\n• Verify: $\langle 0|\langle 0^m|\langle i|U_A|0\rangle|0^m\rangle|j\rangle = \frac{1}{s}A_{ij}$

Gilyén, Su, Low, and Wiebe, ACM SIGACT STOC., 2019

Examples

Banded circulant matrix Extended binary tree

\mathfrak{F} $c(j,\ell) = \begin{cases} 2j & \text{if } \ell = 0 \text{ and } j < 2^{n-1} \text{ (left child)}, \\ 2j+1 & \text{if } \ell = 1 \text{ and } j < 2^{n-1} \text{ (right child)}, \\ j/2 & \text{if } \ell = 2 \text{ and } j \text{ even (parent)}, \\ (j-1)/2 & \text{if } \ell = 3 \text{ and } j \text{ odd (parent)}, \\ j & \text{if } 3 < \ell < 8 \text{ (diagonal)}, \end{cases}$

$$
c(j, \ell) = \begin{cases} \text{mod}(j-1, N) & \text{if } \ell = 0 \text{ (superdiagonal)},\\ j & \text{if } \ell = 1 \text{ (diagonal) or 3},\\ \text{mod}(j+1, N) & \text{if } \ell = 2 \text{ (subdiagonal)}. \end{cases}
$$

O_C circuits

Banded circulant matrix Extended binary tree

7

 O_A circuits

Banded circulant matrix (rotation independent of $|j\rangle$)

$$
\bullet \ \theta_0 = 2\cos^{-1}\gamma
$$

$$
\bullet \ \theta_1 = 2\cos^{-1}(1-\alpha)
$$

•
$$
\theta_2 = 2\cos^{-1}\beta
$$

$$
\langle 0|\langle 0^m|\langle i|U_A|0\rangle|0^m\rangle|j\rangle=\frac{1}{s}A_{ij}
$$

Extended binary tree (Rotation depends on both $| \ell \rangle$ and $| j \rangle$)

• $\theta_0 = 2\cos^{-1}\beta$

•
$$
\theta_1 = 2\cos^{-1}\frac{\alpha}{4}
$$

•
$$
\theta_2 = 2\cos^{-1}\frac{\hat{y}}{4}
$$

•
$$
\theta_3 = 2\cos^{-1}(\frac{\gamma}{4} - \frac{\beta}{2})
$$

Pairing Hamiltonian

• Fermionic Hamiltonian in second quantization

$$
\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i,j} h_{i,j} c_i^{\dagger} c_j + \sum_{i < j, k < l} g_{i,j,k,l} c_i^{\dagger} c_j^{\dagger} c_k c_l
$$

• Pairing Hamiltonian

$$
\mathcal{H} = \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \sum_{q=0}^{n-1} g_{2p,2p+1,2q,2q+1} c_{2p}^{\dagger} c_{2p+1}^{\dagger} c_{2q} c_{2q+1}
$$

- ➢ Simplified model used in nuclear physics to model pairwise correlations among nucleons
- Simplified representation

$$
\mathcal{H} = \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \sum_{q=0}^{n-1} v_{p,q} a_p^{\dagger} a_q
$$

Pseudo creation/annihilation: $a_p^{\dagger} \equiv c_{2p}^{\dagger} \ c_{2p+1}^{\dagger}$, $a_q \equiv c_{2q} \ c_{2q+1}$

\mathcal{O}_C for Pairing Hamiltonian

- The sparsity structure of $H = \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \sum_{q=0}^{n-1} v_{p,q} a_p^{\dagger} a_q$ is determined by $\sum_{p=0}^{n-1}\sum_{q=0}^{n-1}a^{\dagger}_p\;a_q$
- $|i\rangle = |j_0j_1\cdots j_{m-1}\rangle, j_i \in \{0,1\}$
- $a_p^{\dagger} a_q |j\rangle = 0$ unless $|j\rangle_p = |0\rangle$ and $|j\rangle_q = |1\rangle$

• If
$$
|j\rangle_p = |0\rangle
$$
 and $|j\rangle_q = |1\rangle$
\n
$$
\left[a_p^{\dagger} a_q |j\rangle\right]_p = |1\rangle, \left[a_p^{\dagger} a_q |j\rangle\right]_q = |0\rangle
$$

i.e., a_p^{\dagger} a_q |j) simply swaps the p -th and q -th qubits of |j)

• Define $l \equiv (p, q)$ and $c(l, j)$ as $c(l, j) = \{$ SWAP(*j*; p , q), if $|j\rangle_p = |0\rangle$ and $|j\rangle_q = |1\rangle$ invalid, otherwise

O_C as a select oracle

- Notation simplification:
	- \checkmark Define $l \equiv (p, q)$
	- \checkmark Define $H_l = a_p^{\dagger} a_q$
- \cdot $\sum_{l=0}^{L-1} H_l$ can be encoded by a select oracle (similar to LCU except that H_l is not unitary)

$$
SELECT \equiv \sum_l |l\rangle\langle l| \otimes H_l
$$

• Need additional ancilla qubits to invalidate H_l |j) for j's that don't satisfy $|j\rangle_p = |0\rangle$ and $|j\rangle_q = |1\rangle$

The general structure of O_C circuit

The U_l circuit

• Turn the controlling qubit from $|0\rangle$ to $|1\rangle$ when

 $|j\rangle_p = |0\rangle$ and $|j\rangle_q = |1\rangle$

with controls on both the selection and Fock qubits

- Perform a controlled swap
- Restore validation and controlling qubits to $|0\rangle$ (uncompute)

Simplified circuit for $p = q$

The O_{H} circuit

• If $|j\rangle_{\text{p}} = |0\rangle$ and $|j\rangle_{\text{q}} = |1\rangle, l = (p, q)$

$$
O_H|0\rangle|l\rangle|j\rangle = \left(H_{c(l,j),j}|0\rangle + \sqrt{1 - |H_{c(l,j),j}|^2}|1\rangle\right)|l\rangle|j\rangle
$$

- Otherwise, the output is to be discarded
- The general structure is a product of controlled rotations

The $O_{\mathcal{H}}^{(l)}$ circuit

Rotation qubit

Selection qubits

Fock qubits

General 2nd quantized fermionic Hamiltonian

$$
\bullet \mathcal{H} = \sum_{p,q} h_{p,q} a_p^{\dagger} a_q + \sum_{p
$$

• Phase factor:

$$
a_p^{\dagger} a_q |j\rangle = (-1)^{d_j(p,q)} |\text{FLIP}(j; p, q)\rangle
$$

if $|j\rangle_p = |0\rangle$ and $|j\rangle_q = |1\rangle$

- $d_i(p,q) = j_{p+1} + j_{p+2} + \cdots + j_{q-1}$ where $(j_0, j_1, ..., j_n)$ is the binary representation of j.
- FLIP(*j*; p , q) (the $c(\ell, j)$ function) is obtained from *j* by flipping the pth and q th bits in the binary representation (or swapping the p th and q th bits)

 $\{a_p,a_q^\dagger\}=\delta_{p,q}$

Phase oracle

• Rewriting a product of Z 's using three Z 's and CNOT ladder circuits

 $(-1)^{d(j;p,q)}$ implemented by $Z_{p+1}Z_{p+2}\cdots Z_{q-1}$

• SWAP-UP selection

SW(p): $|p\rangle |j_0\rangle |j_1\rangle \cdots |j_{n-1}\rangle \rightarrow |p\rangle |j_p\rangle | * \rangle \cdots | * \rangle$

K. Wan, "Exponentially faster implementations of Select(H) for fermionic Hamiltonians", Quantum, 5, 2021

Prepare oracle $O_{\mathcal{H}}$ through data lookup

- Replace controlled rotation (not available as native gates) by quantum data lookup to encode approximate coefficients \tilde{h}_x , \tilde{g}_x $(x \equiv (p, q)$ or $x \equiv (p, q, r, s))$
- Two steps:
	- 1. Map x to the binary representation of \tilde{h}_x , denoted by a_x using a SELECT-SWAP circuit

$$
O_a\colon |x\rangle\big|0^k\rangle\to|x\rangle|a_x\rangle
$$

G. H. Low et al, "Trading T-gates for dirty qubits in state preparation and unitary synthesis", Quantum 8, 1375, 2024.

2. Use a_x to generate \tilde{h}_x as a probability amplitude through "direct sampling" **COMP** HAD: $|b\rangle|0^m\rangle|0\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^m}} \sum_{i=0}^{2^m-1} |b\rangle|i\rangle|0\rangle$ **HAD HAD** COMP: $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^m}}\sum_{i=0}^{2^m-1}|b\rangle|i\rangle|0\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^m}}\Big[\sum_{i=0}^{b-1}|b\rangle|i\rangle|0\rangle + \sum_{i=b}^{2^m-1}|b\rangle|i\rangle|1\rangle$

Conclusion

- Second quantized fermionic Hamiltonians can be efficiently block encoded without using Jordan-Wigner transformation
- Creation and annihilation can be directly encoded as controlled bit flips or swaps
- Using SWAP-UP can reduce the number of controls in the SELECT oracle
- Data lookup based PREPARE oracle via SELECT-SWAP and "direct sampling" can further reduce gate count