

Applications of quantum calorimetry in nuclear physics

Paul Szypryt (paul.szypryt@nist.gov)

Quantum Information Science on the Intersections of Nuclear and AMO Physics

January 14, 2025

#### Team members

University of Colorado Boulder	Paul Szypryt, Ian Fogarty Florang, Joseph Fowler, Tom-Erik Haugen, Shannon Hoogerheide, Daniel Jardin, H. Pieter Mumm, Matthew Natale, Nathan Nakamura, Jeremy Paster, Thomas Rao, Daniel Swetz, Joel Ullom, Michael Vissers
Pacific Northwest NATIONAL LABORATORY	Raymond Bunker, Ellen Edwards, Ben Loer, John Orrell, Brent VanDevender
Other Collaborators	Jiansong Gao (AWS Center for Quantum Computing) Andrea Giachero (University of Milano Bicocca) Robert Harper (Centre College, NIST SURF) E. Mae Scott (Centre College)

Student Postdoctoral Fellow



#### Overview

- Superconducting calorimeters background
  - Transition-edge sensor (TES) background
  - Kinetic inductance detector (KID) and thermal variant (TKID) background
- CP-TKID development and applications
  - Setting groundwork for new instrumentation at the NIST Center for Neutron Research (NCNR) – precision measurements of fundamental symmetries
  - Using current devices for spectroscopic measurements of the ionizing radiation background in quantum circuit substrates



# Transition-edge sensor (TES) background



#### Why superconducting calorimeters?

- Combines the collection efficiency of energy dispersive detectors such as CCDs and SDDs with the energy resolving power of wavelength dispersive techniques such as crystals and gratings
- Broadband spectroscopy
- Low energy detection thresholds



resolving power,  $E / \Delta E$ 



#### Cryogenic microcalorimeter





#### **TES** microcalorimeter



## Readout electronics and multiplexing

MUX Chips

1 in

Reprinted from Doriese et al., 2016, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10909-</u> 015-1373-z

Typically read out and multiplexed using superconducting quantum interference devices (SQUIDs)



Adapted from Szypryt et al., 2019, <u>https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5116717</u>

Reprinted from Mates et al., 2017, https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4986222

Interface Chips

ES Array

Collimator



## Kinetic inductance detector (KID) background



#### Kinetic inductance detector (KID) background



Adapted from Day et al., 2003, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02037

## KID background



Adapted from Day et al., 2003, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02037</u>

University of Colorado Boulder



#### Reprinted from Szypryt et al., 2017, https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.25.025894



Many (on order 1000) KIDs can be coupled to and read out through a common microwave transmission line

N

#### Thermal kinetic inductance detector (TKID)

- KID coupled to dedicated absorber
  - Combines thermal properties of TES calorimeter with ease of multiplexing of KID
  - Enables separate optimization of detector and readout properties
  - Increased stopping power to high energy events
  - High dynamic range / linear response across broad energy range



Adapted from Ulbricht et al., 2015, https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4923096



# Charged-particle thermal kinetic inductance detector (CP-TKID) development and applications



# CP-TKIDs for precision measurements of fundamental symmetries



#### Motivation

Neutron Beta Decay, the simplest example of the hadronic weak interaction, is an ideal laboratory for testing the Standard Model and searching for new physics

-

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dE_e d\Omega_e d\Omega_\nu} \propto g_\nu^2 (1+3\lambda^2) p_e E_e (E_0 - E_e)^2 \times \left[ 1 + a \frac{\overrightarrow{p}_e \cdot \overrightarrow{p}_\nu}{E_e E_\nu} + b \frac{m_e}{E_e} + \langle \overrightarrow{\sigma_n} \rangle \cdot \left( A \frac{\overrightarrow{p}_e}{E_e} + B \frac{\overrightarrow{p}_\nu}{E_\nu} + D \frac{\overrightarrow{p}_e \times \overrightarrow{p}_\nu}{E_e E_\nu} \right) \right]$$

v	L N	p( <b>p</b> <sub>p</sub> ,E <sub>p</sub> )
e <sup>-</sup> ( <b>p</b> <sub>e</sub> ,E <sub>e</sub> )	Y(E <sub>Y</sub> )	

Observable	Physics
Neutron lifetime ( $\tau_n$ )	Helium abundance in Big Bang Nucleosynthesis
Neutron lifetime ( $\tau_n$ ), electron-antineutrino correlation (a), Beta Decay Asymmetry (A)	CKM Unitarity Parity violation Vector-axial vector currents
Fierz interference (b)	BSM Scalar/Tensor contributions to the weak interaction
Time-reversal violating parameter (D)	T-violation Right-handed neutrinos

#### Measurement challenges/opportunities

High precision measurements require high statistics, but limited by neutron sources, long neutron lifetimes

#### Large-area detectors with high solid angle coverage

Electron energies ~1 MeV and proton energies ~1 keV, state of the art pixelated silicon detectors limited to few keV energy resolution

Detector technology with improved energy resolution and threshold energy limitations

Electron energy spectrum further degraded due to backscattering

> Detectors that can support backscatter suppression techniques



#### **CP-TKID** design and fabrication

Utilizes more macroscopic absorber, optimized for charged-particle and gamma-ray detection in the 10s of keV to few MeV range

TiN Superconductor	~200 nm
Si Substrate	500 μm, 1500 μm

Relatively simple fabrication with single patterned metal layer (TiN), DRIE to define absorber island





#### CP-TKID: active area and energy resolution





**Energy resolution targets:** Electrons (1 MeV):  $\Delta E < 100 \text{ eV}$ Protons (1 keV):  $\Delta E < 10 eV$ 

better than part per thousand:

 $\Delta E \sim \sqrt{4k_B T^2 C}$ 

#### CP-TKID: backscatter suppression





#### Improving CP-TKID energy resolution

Historically, achieved TKID energy resolution has been considerably worse than theoretical limits. Potential causes:

- Position-dependent response
- 'Gain' drift (thermal or magnetic)
- Athermal effects
- Unique pulse processing complexities
- Other effects?

Developed TKID model starting with work of Lindeman, 2014, <u>https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4890018</u>

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = -\left(\frac{\omega_0}{2Q} + \frac{\omega_0\beta_A}{2Q_i}\right)r - \left(\frac{\omega_0\alpha_A}{T_0Q_i}\right)T$$
$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} = \frac{+\omega_0\beta_\phi}{2Q_i}r - \left(\frac{\omega_0}{2Q}\right)\theta + \left(\frac{\omega_0\alpha_\phi}{T_0Q_i}\right)T$$
$$\frac{dT}{dt} = +\left(\frac{P_0}{C}\left(1 + \frac{\beta_A}{2}\right)\right)r + \left(\frac{P_0\alpha_A}{CT_0} - \frac{G}{C}\right)T$$

Coupled differential equations governing TKID response



21

#### **CP-TKID** response model

- Lindeman 2014 model assumed negligible frequency detuning between resonance and probe tune, small signals
- This model did not sufficiently capture observed pulse shapes
- Expanded model for nonzero detuning:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dr}{dt} &= -\left(\frac{\omega_0}{2Q} + \frac{\omega_0(\beta_A - 2Qx\beta_\phi)}{2(1+4Q^2x^2)Q_i}\right)r - \left(\omega_0x - \frac{\omega_0Qx(\beta_A - 2Qx\beta_\phi)}{(1+4Q^2x^2)Q_i}\right)\theta - \left(\frac{\omega_0\alpha_A - 2\omega_0Qx\alpha_\phi}{(1+4Q^2x^2)Q_iT_0}\right)T \\ \frac{d\theta}{dt} &= +\left(\omega_0x + \frac{\omega_0(2Qx\beta_A + \beta_\phi)}{2(1+4Q^2x^2)Q_i}\right)r - \left(\frac{\omega_0}{2Q} + \frac{\omega_0Qx(2Qx\beta_A + \beta_\phi)}{(1+4Q^2x^2)Q_i}\right)\theta + \left(\frac{2\omega_0Qx\alpha_A + \omega_0\alpha_\phi}{(1+4Q^2x^2)Q_iT_0}\right)T \\ \frac{dT}{dt} &= +\left(\frac{P_0}{C}\left(1 + \frac{\beta_A}{2}\right)\right)r - \left(\frac{P_0Qx}{C}(2+\beta_A)\right)\theta + \left(\frac{P_0\alpha_A}{CT_0} - \frac{G}{C}\right)T \end{aligned}$$



#### CP-TKID response model

<u>Data</u>

Model



- Expanded TKID model still work in progress, but already shows good qualitative agreement with data
- Work led by graduate student, lan Fogarty Florang!

#### G4CMP simulations

Phonon transport simulations used to guide device design, e.g. by revealing position-dependent response

Example simulation details:

- Phonons generated at center of CP-TKID absorber
- Phonons detected at surface level superconducting film shown as yellow circles.
- Tracks showing different phonon propagation modes:
  - Slow transverse
  - Fast transverse
  - Longitudinal





# CP-TKIDs for ionizing radiation background measurements



Correlated error bursts lasting multiple milliseconds



Adapted from McEwan et al., 2022, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-021-01432-8

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1666-5

Ģ University of Colorado Boulder

#### Motivation







Image: Pierre Auger Observatory



Image: Johannes Knapp (DESY)



University of Colorado Boulder

#### Linearity across broad energy range

Multiple nonlinearities:

- $C \propto T^3$
- $n_{\rm QP} \propto \sqrt{T} \exp(-\Delta/k_B T)$
- $\delta L \propto -\delta n_{\rm QP}$
- $f_0 \propto 1/\sqrt{LC}$

All combined, expect <20% nonlinearity up to several MeV, confirmed experimentally



#### Measured spectra



Collected cosmic + terrestrial ionizing radiation background data

- Two TKID devices: 1500 μm and 500 μm thicknesses
- >100 hours of data collected with each device
- Data spans 6 orders of magnitude in event rate
- Range of energies collected spans 40 keV to 8 MeV
- Median energy of 120 keV (1500 μm thick device)

#### Gamma-ray model

**GEANT4** simulations



Additional modeling details in Fowler et al., 2024, https://doi.org/10.1109/TASC.2024.3512523

University of Colorado Boulder



Simulations performed in 2

- **GEANT4**: Distributed source in the concrete floor emits upwards.
  - Study particle types, and *E* and  $\theta$ distribution
- **GEANT4:** Transport through the silicon sensor substrate.
  - Record energy deposited in substrate.
  - Do this segregated by particle type ( $e^+$ ,  $e^-$ ,  $\gamma$ )



<sup>232</sup>Th

Total

1.0

0.5

 $10^{3}$ 

 $10^{2}$ 

0.0

#### Above floor



1.5

y-ray Energy (MeV)

2.0

2.5

3.0

**TKID** 

31

#### Cosmic-ray model

#### Simulations in 3 steps

- 1) PARMA generates {k, E,  $\theta$ ,  $\phi$ } values
- 2) GEANT4: Pass through large concrete ceiling and thin aluminum cryostat.
- 3) GEANT4: Transport through the silicon sensor substrate.
  - Record energy deposited in substrate.
  - Do this segregated by particle type (μ<sup>±</sup>, e<sup>±</sup>, γ, p, n)



Ceiling

Nal



- Ceiling effects include:
- $n \leftrightarrow p$
- $\gamma \leftrightarrow e \pm$
- $\mu \pm \rightarrow e$ -
- Any  $\rightarrow \gamma$
- Screening all species

## Comparison of data to model



TKID devices likely compatible with superconducting qubit designs for future extensions of this work!

Key findings / lessons learned:

- Data matches model to better than 10%, despite large range of event rates and deposited energies
- Total absorbed power and gamma-ray rate roughly proportional to thickness
- Cosmic-ray rate largely independent of thickness
- Average event energy of 215 (293) keV expected for 500 (1500) μm thick substrates
- Can partially reduce backgrounds through underground environment, low-activity building materials
- High-energy tail observed up to to multiple MeV, potentially problematic for on-chip mitigation techniques (e.g. gap engineering)
- Results can be extended to other laboratories/environments with some straightforward corrections

## Stacked CP-TKID progress

Beginning early design work of stacked CP-TKID geometry Performing preliminary stacked CP-TKID measurements using currently fabricated devices



Ionizing radiation background coincident event spectra



#### Conclusions

- The CP-TKID can be an extremely useful tool in quantum information science and nuclear physics applications
- Application 1: developing CP-TKIDs for studies of fundamental symmetries aiming to improve energy resolution, active area, and background suppression over current state-of-the-art.
  - Quantum devices for nuclear physics
- Application 2: measured ionizing radiation background using a CP-TKID as a proxy for a quantum circuit + substrate, good agreement between data and model with zero free parameters
  - Quantum devices + nuclear physics for quantum information science

Students and postdocs interested in this work should visit <u>https://www.nist.gov/programs-projects/kinetic-inductance-spectrophotometry</u> or contact Paul Szypryt at <u>paul.szypryt@nist.gov</u>.

We gratefully acknowledge support from the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). This work was supported by the DOE Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics, under Awards No. DE-SC0021415 and No. DE-SC0023682.





