

Quasifragmentation functions and Quasiparton distributions in the massive Schwinger model

NP-AMO QIS Workshop at UMass Boston

Sebastian Griener, Stony Brook University

01/15/2025

Based on Phys.Rev.D 110 (2024) 7, 076008, Phys.Rev.D 110 (2024) 11 + ongoing work, in collaboration with Kazuki Ikeda, Ismail Zahed, Felix Ringer and Jake Montgomery



C²QA



UNTERSTÜTZT VON / SUPPORTED BY

Alexander von
HUMBOLDT
STIFTUNG


Idea:

Create controlled theoretical framework to benchmark performance and accuracy of quantum simulations in nuclear physics


0. Problem where 1+1d toy model can be generalized to QCD_4 .
1. 1+1 d system that can be solved in the continuum limit
2. Solve corresponding discretized version using exact diagonalization and tensor networks
3. Design quantum circuit
4. Quantum simulation in $d = 1 + 1$
5. ... $d = 3 + 1$

Motivation


- Parton distribution and fragmentation functions (PDFs and FFs) play crucial role in understanding the internal structure of hadrons and the dynamics of partonic interactions
- PDFs and FFs are central for the analyses of most high energy processes in QCD (i.e. data from LHC, RHIC, EIC).
- Partons and Hadrons: *Partons* are the constituents of *hadrons*;
Example: Hadron: Proton; Partons: Quarks and gluons inside the proton
- Parton distribution functions give probability density to find partons (quarks and gluons) in a hadron as a function of the fraction x of the proton's momentum carried by the parton.



Motivation



Minkowski coordinates





Light front coordinates

Light-front time: $x^+ = t + z$, Light-front-space: $x^- = t - z$

- On the light front, hadrons are composed of frozen partons due to time dilation and asymptotic freedom.
- On the light front: hard processes can be split into a perturbatively calculable hard block times non-perturbative matrix elements like PDFs and FFs.

Motivation

- PDFs are inherently non-perturbative and valued on light front making them inaccessible to standard Euclidean lattice formulations, with the exception of the few lowest moments \rightarrow circumvented through quasi-distributions [Ji; '13]: light-cone correlations of quarks and gluons can be calculated by boosting the matrix elements of spatial correlations to a large momentum
- In Hamiltonian time evolution can compute both. Goal: Benchmark qPDF vs PDF




Quark fragmentation

- Quark fragmentation (Field and Feynman), who put forward quark jet model to describe meson production in semi-inclusive processes
- Quark jet model is independent parton cascade model, where hard parton depletes its longitudinal momentum by emitting successive mesons through chain process (e.g. string breaking in Lund model)
- Jet fragmentation and hadronization important for RHIC, LHC and EIC to extract partonic structure of matter, gluon helicity in nucleons and mechanism behind the production of diffractive dijets.
- FFs describe how a high-energy parton transforms into a jet of hadrons; counterpart of PDFs but describe the "reverse" process, where a parton hadronizes, rather than describing how it is distributed inside a hadron




Quark fragmentation

- Light front formulation of fragmentation functions (FFs) was suggested by Collins and Soper.
- Formulation is fully gauge invariant but inherently non-perturbative.
- Collins and Soper FFs are still not accessible to first principle QCD lattice simulations, due to their inherent light front structure
- Introduce concept of quasi-FF
- Drell-Levy-Yan: FFs may be approximated from PDFs using crossing and analyticity symmetries (assuming factorization etc)
- Goal: Crosscheck DLY FF with qFF




Generalized parton distributions (GPDs)

- GPDs carry more detailed information on partonic structure of hadrons.
- In contrast to PDFs, GPDs capture the correlations between the longitudinal parton momentum and its transverse spatial position.
- GPDs provide three-dimensional visualization of the partonic content of hadrons. In short, they are off-diagonal matrix elements of leading twist-operators in both unpolarized and polarized hadronic targets.
- Here: Establish first nonperturbative analysis of the qGPDs in massive QED2



Lattice Schwinger model in 1+1d



The massive Schwinger model: QED₂

Massive Schwinger model: [Schwinger; '62], [Coleman; '76]

$$S = \int d^2x \left(\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^2 + \bar{\psi}(i\mathcal{D} - m)\psi \right) \text{ with } \mathcal{D} = \not{\partial} - ig\mathcal{A}.$$

Exhibits confinement and non-trivial vacuum structure.

Consider mass gap m_η of first excited state $|\eta(0)\rangle$ (meson-like state).

Strong coupling $m/g \ll 1/\pi$: (split in pseudo-scalar mass due to $U(1)$ anomaly + chiral condensate)

$$m_\eta^2 = m_S^2 + m_\pi^2 = \frac{g^2}{\pi} - 4\pi m \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle_0,$$

with chiral condensate $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle_0 = -\frac{e^{\gamma_E}}{2\pi} m_S$, where $\gamma_E = 0.577$.


$$\frac{m_\eta}{m_S} = \left(1 + 2e^{\gamma_E} \frac{m}{m_S} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \approx 1 + e^{\gamma_E} \frac{m}{m_S} \approx 1 + 1.78 \frac{m}{m_S}$$

Weak coupling $\frac{m}{g} \gg \frac{1}{\pi}$: $m_\eta \rightarrow 2m$.

Mass gap of first excited state

Mass gap in finite spatial box receives finite size corrections

$$E_0 = \sqrt{m_s^2 + \pi^2/L^2} \text{ with } L = N \cdot a \text{ and } m_s^2 = g^2/\pi.$$



Red-dashed line fit to $\frac{E}{E_0} = 0.99 + 1.76 \frac{m}{E_0}$

green-dashed line $\frac{E}{E_0} = \frac{0.33+1.99m}{E_0}$. Crossing from strong to weak coupling at about $m/g \sim 1/3$

Boost operator in QED2

Boost excited state at equal time toward light cone $\mathbb{K} = \int dx x \mathcal{H}$.
 η' is the lowest massive meson in the spectrum at strong coupling

$$|\eta(\chi)\rangle = e^{i\chi\mathbb{K}}|\eta(0)\rangle, \quad \chi \equiv \frac{1}{2}\ln\left(\frac{1+v}{1-v}\right),$$
$$:\mathbb{H}: |\eta(\chi)\rangle = m_\eta \cosh\chi |\eta(\chi)\rangle, \quad :\mathbb{P}: |\eta(\chi)\rangle = m_\eta \sinh\chi |\eta(\chi)\rangle.$$


with $p^\mu = \gamma m_\eta (1, v)$, $\gamma = \cosh\chi = 1/\sqrt{1-v^2}$.

To benchmark the accuracy of the boost, consider

$$\Delta(v) \equiv \langle \eta(v) | : \mathbb{H} : | \eta(v) \rangle = \langle \eta(v) | \mathbb{H} | \eta(v) \rangle - E_0.$$


Boosted excited state

$$\Delta(v) \equiv \langle \eta(v) | : \mathbb{H} : | \eta(v) \rangle \equiv m_\eta \gamma(\chi); \text{ fix } m_{\text{lat}}=0, N=24, g=1, a=1$$



Error in excess of 10% (at around $v \gtrsim 0.83$), and in excess of 20% (at around $v \gtrsim 0.91$). Also, the overlap $\langle \eta(0) | 0(v) \rangle$ is nonzero.

Boosted excited state using tensor networks



Tensor network calculation with $N = 180$ and lattice spacing $a = 0.33$. Largest symmetric error only 1.2%!

Light front wavefunctions


Light front wavefunctions $\varphi_n(\zeta)$ in 2-particle Fock-space approx solve:
(ζP symmetric momentum fraction of partons, $\zeta = 2x - 1$) [Bergknoff; '77]

$$M_n^2 \varphi_n(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2} m_S^2 \int_{-1}^1 d\zeta' \varphi_n(\zeta') + \frac{4m^2}{1-\zeta^2} \varphi_n(\zeta) - 2m_S^2 \text{PP} \int_{-1}^1 d\zeta' \frac{\varphi_n(\zeta') - \varphi_n(\zeta)}{(\zeta' - \zeta)^2}$$

't Hooft equation + **U(1) anomaly**; M_n is mass gap

Due to **pole**: $\varphi_n(\pm 1) \stackrel{!}{=} 0$, PDF: $q_n(x) = |\varphi(x)|^2$.

Expansion using orthonormal Jacobi polynomials $P_n^{2\beta, 2\beta}$ [Mo, Perry; '93]



$\beta = 0.1\sqrt{3}/\pi$ (blue),

$\beta = \sqrt{3}/\pi$ (red),

$\beta = 10\sqrt{3}/\pi$ (black) using
13 Jacobi polynomials.

Boosted quasi-distributions

The partonic distribution function (PDF) for the boosted pseudo-scalar (in rest frame) is defined as


$$q_{\eta}(x, v) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dz}{4\pi} e^{-iz\zeta p^1} \langle \eta(0) | e^{-i\chi \mathbb{K}} \bar{\psi}(0, z) [z, -z] \gamma^+ \gamma^5 \psi(0, -z) e^{i\chi \mathbb{K}} | \eta(0) \rangle.$$

with $p^1 = \gamma m_{\eta} v$ and $\zeta = 2x - 1$ with x the parton fraction. Here $\gamma^+ = \gamma^0 + \gamma^1$, $[z, -z]$ is link along spatial direction. PDA similar.

Both defined at equal time for a fixed boost, reduce to Ji's light front partonic functions in the large rapidity limit $\chi \gg 1$. The PDF is


$$\begin{aligned} q_{\eta}(\zeta, v) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_n e^{-in\zeta a P(v)} \langle \eta(0) | e^{-i\chi(v) \mathbb{K}} (\varphi_n^{\dagger} + \varphi_{n+1}^{\dagger})(\varphi_{-n} + \varphi_{-n+1}) e^{i\chi(v) \mathbb{K}} | \eta(0) \rangle \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_n e^{-in\zeta a P(v)} D(na). \end{aligned}$$

Real part of the spatial quasi-distribution function $D(z)$



Parameters for strong coupling result: $v = 0.925$ and $m/m_s = 0.1$ (red disks) and improved mass m_{lat} (blue triangles), we fixed $N = 26$, with $a = g = 1$. Black lines are inverse Fourier transforms of light front wave function result (scaled to peak).

Imaginary part of the spatial quasi-distribution function $D(z)$



Parameters for strong coupling result: $\nu = 0.925$ and $m/m_s = 0.1$ (red disks) and improved mass m_{lat} (blue triangles), we fixed $N = 26$, with $a = g = 1$. Black lines are inverse Fourier transforms of light front wave function result (scaled to peak).

Hamiltonian evolution to light front

Trade boost for Hamiltonian time evolution. Use the boost and "time" identities:

$$e^{-i\chi\mathbb{K}}\psi(0, -z)e^{i\chi\mathbb{K}} = e^{\chi\gamma^5/2}\psi(-\gamma v z, \gamma z)$$

$$\psi(-v z, z) = e^{-ivz\mathbb{H}}\psi(0, z)e^{ivz\mathbb{H}}$$

Resulting eventually in:

$$q_\eta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_n e^{-in\zeta am_\eta v} \langle \eta(0) | (\varphi_n^\dagger + \varphi_{n+1}^\dagger) e^{-i2vn\mathbb{H}} (\varphi_{-n} + \varphi_{-n+1}) | \eta(0) \rangle$$

Collins-Soper fragmentation functions (I)

Measures the amount of meson outgoing from the quark.

On light front, gauge-invariant definition of the QCD quark fragmentation $Q \rightarrow Q + H$ was given by Collins and Soper. Introduce the **spatially symmetric qFF**

$$d_q^\eta(z, \nu) = \frac{1}{z} \int \frac{dZ}{4\pi} e^{-i(\frac{z}{2}-1)P(\nu)Z} \text{Tr} \left(\gamma^+ \gamma^5 \langle 0 | \psi(-Z) [-Z, \infty]^\dagger a_{\text{out}}^\dagger(P(\nu)) a_{\text{out}}(P(\nu)) [\infty, Z] \bar{\psi}(Z) | 0 \rangle \right)$$

where $P(\nu) = \gamma(\nu) m_\eta \nu$ is momentum fraction carried by the emitted η from mother quark jet with momentum $P(\nu)/z$.

The asymptotic time limit implements the LSZ reduction on source field

$$a_{\text{out}}^\dagger(P) a_{\text{out}}(P) = \frac{2}{f^2} e^{i\mathbb{H}t} |\psi^\dagger \gamma_5 \psi(0, P(\nu))|^2 e^{-i\mathbb{H}t} |_{t \rightarrow +\infty}.$$

Collins-Soper fragmentation function (II)

The **symmetric qFF** can be recast in terms of the spatial qFF correlator

$$d_q^\eta(z, \nu) = \frac{1}{z} \int \frac{dZ}{4\pi} e^{-i(\frac{z}{z}-1)P(\nu)Z} \mathbb{C}(Z, \nu, \infty),$$

$$\mathbb{C}(Z, \nu, t) = \frac{2}{f^2} \text{Tr} \left(\gamma^+ \gamma^5 \langle 0 | \psi(0, -Z) [-Z, \infty]^\dagger e^{i\mathbb{H}t} e^{i\chi(\nu)\mathbb{K}} | \psi^\dagger \gamma_5 \psi(0, m_\eta) \rangle^2 \right. \\ \left. e^{-i\chi(\nu)\mathbb{K}} e^{-i\mathbb{H}t} [\infty, Z] \bar{\psi}(0, Z) | 0 \rangle \right).$$

Under combined boost and time evolution, the equal-time fermion field is now lying on the light cone.

Computed $\mathbb{C}(Z, \nu, \infty)$ in lattice model using exact diagonalization/tensor networks.

Discretized Lattice qFF

Recall:

$$\mathbb{C}(Z, \nu, t) = \frac{2}{f^2} \text{Tr} \left(\gamma^+ \gamma^5 \langle 0 | \psi(0, -Z) [-Z, \infty]^\dagger e^{i\mathbb{H}t} e^{i\chi(\nu)\mathbb{K}} | \psi^\dagger \gamma_5 \psi(0, 0) \rangle^2 \right. \\ \left. e^{-i\chi(\nu)\mathbb{K}} e^{-i\mathbb{H}t} [\infty, Z] \bar{\psi}(0, Z) | 0 \rangle \right).$$

Same discretization as for PDF. New element:

$$| \psi^\dagger \gamma_5 \psi(0, 0) |^2 = \frac{1}{a^2} \left| \sum_n (\sigma_n^+ \sigma_{n+1}^- - \sigma_{n+1}^+ \sigma_n^-) \right|^2,$$


where $\sigma_n^\pm = \frac{1}{2}(X_n \pm iY_n)$. Discretized form of the symmetric spatial qFF:

$$\mathbb{C}(n, \nu, t) = \frac{4}{aF^2} \sum_{i,j=e,o} e^{in\gamma a m_\eta} \langle 0 | \psi_i(-n) e^{i\mathbb{H}t} | \psi^\dagger \gamma_5 \psi(0, 0) \rangle^2 e^{-i\mathbb{H}t} \psi_j^\dagger(n) | 0 \rangle.$$

Drell-Levy-Yan relation

Crossing symmetry and charge conjugation: Estimate of the CS FF in terms of PDFs using the DLY

$$d_{DLY}(z, \nu) = z^{d-3} p_{\eta} \left(\frac{1}{z}, \nu \right)$$





$p_{\eta} \equiv |\varphi_2|^2 \sim$ probability of finding parton of momentum fraction x in hadron $p(x)$. DLY: is related to the amount of meson spit out by parton with fraction of momentum z .

Using the EVP:

$$d_{DLY}(z, 1) = \frac{\bar{z}^2}{z(\bar{z}\mu^2 + z^2\bar{\alpha})^2} \left(f - \int_0^1 dx \frac{\varphi(x)}{(x - 1/z)^2} \right)^2$$

with $\mu^2 = M^2/m_S^2$ and $1 + \bar{\alpha} = \alpha = m^2/m_S^2$.



Strong coupling DLY fragmentation function (light quarks): $\beta = 0$ (blue) and $\beta = 0.2$ (red). The divergence for small masses (small β) is in agreement with the exact bosonization description of QED2

DLY fragmentation function for heavy quarks: FF is peaked in the forward (jet) direction, with a strong suppression as $z \rightarrow 0$ (vanishes for $1 = z = 0$).

Conclusions:

- Introduced the concept of quasi-fragmentation functions
- Formulated quasi-distribution functions/amplitudes and quasi-fragmentation functions in language suitable for quantum computation
- qGPD works analogous

Outlook (in progress):

- Much finer lattices are needed for the comparison \rightarrow tensor networks
- Check the proposal for the qFF versus the FF computed from DLY
- Set up the calculation on a quantum computer